

Reactivity of an Fe^{IV}-Oxo Complex with Protons and Oxidants

Ethan A. Hill,[§] Andrew C. Weitz,^{\perp} Elizabeth Onderko,[‡] Adrian Romero-Rivera,^{||} Yisong Guo,^{*, \perp} Marcel Swart,^{*,||,#} Emile L. Bominaar,^{*, \perp} Michael T. Green,^{*,‡,§} Michael P. Hendrich,^{*, \perp} David C. Lacy,^{*,§,†} and A. S. Borovik^{*,§}

[§]Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, United States

¹Department of Chemistry, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, United States

^{II}Institut de Química Computacional i Catàlisi and Departament de Química, Universitat de Girona, 17003 Girona, Spain

[#]ICREA, Pg. Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: High-valent Fe-OH species are often invoked as key intermediates but have only been observed in Compound II of cytochrome P450s. To further address the properties of non-heme Fe^{IV}-OH complexes, we demonstrate the reversible protonation of a synthetic Fe^{IV}-oxo species containing a tris-urea tripodal ligand. The same protonated Fe^{IV}-oxo species can be prepared via oxidation, suggesting that a putative Fe^V-oxo species was initially generated. Computational, Mössbauer, XAS, and NRVS studies indicate that protonation of the Fe^{IV}-oxo complex most likely occurs on the tripodal ligand, which undergoes a structural change that results in the formation of a new intramolecular H-bond with the oxido ligand that aids in stabilizing the protonated adduct. We suggest that similar protonated high-valent Fe-oxo species may occur in the active sites of proteins. This finding further argues for caution when assigning unverified high-valent Fe-OH species to mechanisms.

f etal-oxo/hydroxo species are important classes of Mintermediates that are involved in a variety of oxidative transformations in biology.¹ The most well studied systems are produced from the activation of dioxygen or peroxide in heme enzymes. The paradigm systems are the cytochrome P450s (P450s), whose hydroxylase components have active sites that are composed of a single heme center and a hydrogen-bonding network near the dioxygen binding site.² A common mechanistic proposal among P450s is that a high-valent iron-oxo intermediate is formed from the binding and activation of dioxygen, which then serves as the kinetically competent species in the oxidation of substrates.^{2b,3} Successful trapping of this transient intermediate in P450s (denoted Compound I, or Cpd I) provided sufficient spectroscopic and kinetic evidence to definitively assign it as a high-valent Fe^{IV}=O(ligand radical) species, supporting the premise that high-valent iron-oxo species are formed in monooxygenases.⁴ The mechanism proposed for the reactivity of Cpd I involves generation of an Fe^{IV}-OH species and a carbon radical from an initial C-H bond cleavage step (Scheme 1). The Fe^{IV}-OH species (Compound II, or Cpd II) in P450s took on considerable importance with the findings of Green, who

Scheme 1. Proposed Route for C-H Bond Cleavage by Cytochrome P450 (Circles Represent Protoporphyrin I)



suggested that its axial coordination to a thiolate ligand both lowers the reduction potential and increases the Fe^{IV}-OH p K_a to ~12 in Cpd II. Attenuation of the reduction potential of Cpd I and p K_a of Cpd II prevents oxidation of neighboring tyrosine and tryptophan residues that would lead to protein destruction and facilitates C-H bond cleavage (Scheme 1).⁵

The interplay between high-valent Fe-oxo and Fe-OH species is also important in the function of heme-containing peroxidases.⁶ Recent experimental findings sparked discussions on whether protonation occurs at the Fe^{IV}-oxo species or at a proximal site that then forms a H-bond to the oxido ligand.⁷ The importance of protonated Fe^{IV}-oxo species extends beyond heme proteins to non-heme systems that include the Rieske-type monooxygenases and synthetic systems, such as the one suggested by Fukuzumi and Nam.^{8b} Unlike non-heme Fe^{IV}-oxo species for which considerable progress has been made in understanding their properties,⁹ there is a dearth of experimental evidence for protonated non-heme Fe^{IV}-oxo complexes. Nevertheless, it is assumed that protonation of synthetic Fe^{IV}-oxo complex occurs at the oxido ligand, even though most pK_a values for the Fe^{IV}-OH units are not known or thought to be <5. ^{6b,10} Furthermore, most synthetic systems lack intramolecular H-bonding networks that are vital in regulating the site of protonation in proteins with Fe^{IV}-oxo units.⁷

This Communication describes methods for the preparation of a protonated Fe^{IV}-oxo complex (1). We previously generated a series of synthetic high-valent metal-oxo species supported by the $[H_3buea]^{3-}$ ligand (Scheme 2).^{9e,11} Included in this series is the high-spin, mononuclear Fe^{IV}-oxo complex, $[Fe^{IV}H_3buea(O)]^-$ (2), having local C_3 symmetry that is enforced by the strong Ndonors of the deprotonated urea groups.^{9e,12} In addition, the

Received: July 23, 2016 Published: September 20, 2016 Scheme 2. Reactivity of 2 at -80 °C in THF



 $[H_3buea]^{3-}$ ligand promotes the formation of intramolecular Hbonds and thus aids in regulating the secondary sphere around the iron center in a manner reminiscent of active sites in proteins. We now use this approach to prepare 1, the protonated congener of 2, and characterize its physical properties. Moreover, we show that the same species is obtained via oxidation of 2, implicating the formation of an Fe^V-oxo species. Our findings suggest that protonation of 1 does not occur at the oxido ligand but rather at $[H_3buea]^{3-}$, which undergoes a major structural change that is stabilized by a strong intramolecular H-bond to the Fe^{IV}-oxo unit.

The starting point of our study was **2**, whose conjugate acid has an experimentally estimated $pK_a \approx 11$.^{9e,13} Based on the work on heme proteins described above,⁵ we reasoned that this value was sufficient to produce a protonated form that was stable enough to be detected. Consistent with this prediction, treatment of 2 with 1 equiv of $[H_3NPh]BF_4$ (p $K_a = 5.2$ in THF, rt)¹⁴ at -80 °C in THF produced a new species that we assigned as the protonated analogue 1 (Scheme 2). Spectrophotometric monitoring of the reaction showed replacement of the characteristic bands of 2 at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 350, 430, 530, \text{ and } 810 \text{ nm}$ with new peaks for 1 at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 380$ and 540 nm; this conversion exhibited isosbestic behavior upon incremental addition of the acid to a solution of 2, with isosbestic points observed at λ = 320, 490, and 710 nm (Figure 1). The EPR signal from 2 vanished, and a commensurate change was observed in the Mössbauer parameters from $\delta = 0.04$ mm/s and $\Delta E_{\rm O} = 0.50$ mm/s for 2 to $\delta = 0.04$ mm/s and $\Delta E_0 = 0.87$ mm/s for 1 (Figure 1). Variable field and temperature Mössbauer studies (to be published later) indicate an S = 2 spin ground state. We also explored this reaction with other acids at -80 °C in THF: complete formation of 1 was observed with 1.5 equiv of $[NH(CH_2CH_3)_3]BF_4$ (pK₂ = 12.5 in THF, rt), but no reaction was found when 2 was treated with 1 equiv of pyrrolidinium tetrafluoroborate ($pK_a = 13.5$ in THF, rt).¹⁴ These observations suggest an approximate bracketing of the pK_{a} value for 1 between 11 and 13, consistent with our previous thermodynamic predictions for the pK_a value for 1 in DMSO (see above).

Deprotonation of 1 was studied at -80 °C in THF using the non-nucleophilic base, diazabicycloundecene (DBU, $pK_a = 16.8$ in THF, rt):¹⁴ both UV/vis and Mössbauer spectroscopies showed that 1 can be cleanly converted to 2 (Scheme 2, Figure S1) in a yield of 90%. These results demonstrate the reversibility of the protonation/deprotonation process. Moreover, if our assignment of 1 is correct, a comproportionation reaction should occur between 1 and $[Fe^{II}H_3buea(OH)]^{2-}$ (3, eq 1) to form

$$\begin{bmatrix} O \\ || \\ Fe^{|V} + H^{+} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} OH \\ | \\ Fe^{|I} \end{bmatrix}^{2} \xrightarrow{\text{THF/Ar}} 2\begin{bmatrix} OH \\ | \\ Fe^{|I|} \end{bmatrix}^{-}$$
(1)
1 3

 $[Fe^{III}H_3buea(OH)]^-$ (4), two complexes that we previously prepared and characterized.^{11a,15} This premise was successfully affirmed by mixing equimolar amounts of 1 and 3 to afford 4 in a



Figure 1. Electronic absorbance spectra (left) for the protonation of 2 (dash) to 1 (solid) via sequential addition of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.0 equiv of $[H_3NPh]^+$ at -80 °C in THF. Inset: Oxidation of 2 to 1 with $N(p-tol)_3^{+\bullet}$ at -80 °C in THF. Mössbauer spectra (right) of 2 (A) and 1 (B) recorded at 4 K in THF. Red lines are the least-squares fits of the experimental data with line widths (A) 0.32 mm/s and (B) 0.37 mm/s.



Figure 2. NRVS spectra for $1({}^{57}Fe{}^{-16}O)$ (A), $1({}^{57}Fe{}^{-18}O)$ (B), and $2({}^{57}Fe{}^{-16}O)$ (C). Samples were ~10 mM in THF.

concentration that was twice that of the starting complexes. This reaction was followed using UV/vis and EPR spectroscopies, and the final product had spectra identical to those published for 4 (Figures S2 and S3).¹⁵ We also found that 1 can be reduced to 4 in nearly quantitative yield using $[CoCp_2]$ as the reductant (Figure S4). These results indicate that the molecular structure of 2 is not irreversibly changed upon protonation to form 1.

The vibrational properties of 1 were evaluated using nuclear resonance vibrational spectroscopy (NRVS). Using a sample that contained 80% of 1(⁵⁷Fe-¹⁶O),¹⁶ we obtained a NRVS spectrum with a peak at 800 cm^{-1} that is assigned to the Fe-O vibration (Figure 2). This band shifts to 764 cm⁻¹ in the $1({}^{57}\text{Fe-}{}^{18}\text{O})$ isotopomer, as expected based on a harmonic Fe-O oscillator model that predicts a difference of 36 cm⁻¹. This vibrational feature does not originate from 2 because the sample had only 10% of 2 remaining.¹⁶ The NRVS spectrum of 2(⁵⁷Fe-¹⁶O) measured independently, and under identical conditions, showed a peak at 794 cm⁻¹ that we had previously assigned to an Fe^{IV}-oxo vibration using FTIR spectroscopy. Furthermore, the lower energy features $(450-200 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ between $1(^{57}\text{Fe}^{-16}\text{O})$ and $2(^{57}\text{Fe}^{-16}\text{O})$ that arise from Fe-N vibrations are significantly different, indicative of a structural change upon protonation. We also probed the structure of 1 using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). The XANES spectrum for 1 has an energy edge at 7122.9 eV, similar to the 7123.3 eV value found for 2 (Figure S5); both edge energies are consistent with an Fe^{IV} center. EXAFS analysis found that the Fe-O_{oxo} bond lengths in 1 (1.65 Å) and 2 (1.67 Å) are the same within experimental error (Tables S1 and S2, Figures S6 and S7), with the distance in 2 matching that found by XRD on a crystalline sample.^{9e} A Badger's rule analysis predicted that this Fe-O bond distance measured for 1 would have $\hat{\nu}$ (Fe-O) = 799 cm⁻¹, in excellent agreement with our NRVS data. Note also that the two complexes have statistically significant differences in the remaining components of their primary coordination spheres. In particular, the EXAFS data for 1 were best fit to three Fe-N bonds at a distance of 1.95 Å, while for 2 the fit gave four Fe-N bonds at a distance of 2.00 Å. The details of these metrical differences are not yet known, but it clear that structural changes occur upon conversion of 2 to 1.

We used DFT methods to further understand the structural properties of 1.¹⁷ DFT analysis suggests the added proton is located between the oxido group and one of the $[H_3buea]^{3-}$ arms. Three low-energy optimized structures for 1 were found that differ by ~5 kcal/mol, with 1a predicted to be the lowest energy structure. The two lowest energy tautomers have the proton localized on $[H_3buea]^{3-}$ (Figure 3). The structure of 1a has one



Figure 3. Geometry optimizations, relative free energies at room temperature, and computed Mössbauer parameters for 1a-c determined from DFT. Red segments represent key structural aspects of $[H_3 buea]^{3-}$.

urea group rotated such that one carbonyl group is positioned within the cavity with the proton on O2 (Figure 3). The rotated urea in 1a adopts an imidic acid tautomer with a O2-C10 bond distance of 1.319 Å and a relatively short N2-C10 bond length of 1.329 Å (Table S3).¹⁸ The second tautomer, 1b, has the proton centered on one of the urea arms to form a quaternarized N-center. The third structure, 1c, has the proton on the oxido ligand to form a hydroxo group. One urea arm in 1c is twisted, presumably to avoid steric clashing with the O-H bond.

The Fe-O1 bond distances of 1.696 and 1.703 Å in 1a and 1b are not significantly changed from the analogous bond distance of 1.680(1) Å in **2**.^{9e,12,19} The long O1…H1 distances of 1.450 Å in 1a and 1.549 Å in 1b suggest that the apical ligand is better described as a H-bonded oxido than a hydroxido. However, the O1...O2 distance in 1a (2.495 Å) and O1...N3 distance in 1b (2.614 Å) are shorter than in other characterized monomeric M-OH or M-oxo structures with $[H_3 buea]^{3-}$, indicating that stronger H-bonds are formed because of the increased acidity of these new H-bond donors.¹⁵ For 1c, the Fe-O1 bond length is increased to 1.812 Å, reflecting the formation of the hydroxido ligand. Moreover, DFT finds that in each tautomer an asymmetry exists within the equatorial plane that is caused by one Fe-N_{eq} bond length being substantially larger (>2 Å) than the others (Table S3); these bond length differences could explain the changes in the Fe-N vibrations observed between 1 and 2 by NRVS. Finally, DFT predicted that each tautomer has an S = 2spin state with computed Mössbauer parameters comparable to our experimental findings (Figure 3).

Based on the results from spectroscopy and computations, we argue that the oxido ligand in 1 is the less likely site of protonation. This claim is based on the similarities of the Fe-O vibrations for 1 and 2 as determined by NRVS measurements and the small changes in the Fe-O/N bond lengths between the two Fe^{IV}

complexes obtained from EXAFS analysis. We thus suggest that the protonation occurs on one of the urea arms of the $[H_3buea]^{3-}$, as illustrated in the structures of **1a** and **1b**. Based on relative free energy considerations, **1a** and **1b** are nearly equivalent and their Mössbauer parameters match well with values obtained from experiments. Tautomer **1c** contains an Fe^{IV}-OH unit but with structural parameters that do not match those found by EXAFS. In addition, Badger's rule suggests that an Fe-O bond length of 1.81 Å as computed for **1c** would have ν (Fe-O) = 573 cm⁻¹, which does not agree with experiment (Figure 2).²⁰

Complex 1 also can be obtained via the oxidation of 2. We previously reported that the Fe^{IV}-oxo complex 2 exhibits an irreversible oxidation at 0.34 V vs $[FeCp_2]^{+/0}$ in DMSO at room temperature that we now report shifts to 0.14 V in THF (Figure S8). To probe this oxidative event, the reaction between 2 and the radical amminium cation, $N(p-tol)_3^{+\bullet}$ (0.4 V vs $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$),²¹ was monitored spectrophotometrically at -80 °C in THF. Rather than a new Fe^V species that would be expected for single-electron transfer, this reaction gave 1 as the major iron species in 60% yield. Formation of 1 was supported by spectrophotometric (inset, Figure 1) and Mössbauer experiments (Figure S9). The same results were also obtained when the reaction was performed at -105 °C in THF and -120 °C in 2-MeTHF. A mechanistic route that is consistent with these observations is the initial formation of an Fe^{V} -oxo intermediate (6) that, in the absence of external substrates, rapidly reacts with solvent to form 1 (Scheme 2). Because we have been unable to detect any oxidized products from THF, we examined this reaction with external substrates. The oxidized form of 2 did not react with external compounds such as 9,10-dihydroanthracene, but detectable products were observed with phenols. For instance, when 100 equiv of 2,4,6-tritert-butylphenol (7) was present in the oxidation reaction, the formation of 1 and the corresponding phenoxy radical (9) was observed, as evidenced by the appearance of its optical bands at λ_{max} = 382 and 402 nm (eq 2, Figures S10 and S11). Moreover,



2,2',6,6'-tetra-*tert*-butyl-4,4'-biphenol (10) was produced in a 20(5)% yield when 2,6-di-*tert*-butylphenol (8) was used instead of 7, as determined by gas chromatography and GC-MS (Figures S12 and S13). These results provide evidence that an Fe^V-oxo species could initially be formed during the oxidative conversion of 2 to 1.

Protonated Fe^{IV} -oxo complexes are difficult to prepare, yet such species are proposed to readily form within protein active sites in which intramolecular H-bonds within the secondary coordination sphere are prevalent. Using the synthetic ligand $[H_3buea]^{3-}$ that also promotes intramolecular H-bonds, we demonstrated that the well-characterized Fe^{IV} -oxo complex **2** reacts with acids to produce a new species that is assigned to the protonated Fe^{IV} -oxo species **1**. The properties and reactivity of **1** are all consistent with this assignment, including its reversion back to **2** with the addition of the base DBU, its reaction with the Fe^{II} -OH complex **3** to produce the Fe^{III} -OH species **4**, and its conversion to **4** by reduction with $[CoCp_2]$. Insights into the structure of **1** from spectroscopy and DFT calculations suggested that the likely site of protonation is the $[H_3buea]^{3-}$ ligand. Results from NRVS spectroscopy indicated that the Fe^{IV}-oxo unit remains intact. In the lowest energy complexes from DFT, one tripodal arm is protonated, resulting in a new intramolecular H-bonding interaction with the Fe^{IV}-oxo unit. Computational studies implicate the Fe^{IV}-O···H-X (X = O, N) intramolecular H-bond as a key contributor to the stability of this structure.

Treatment of **2** with oxidant did not yield a detectable Fe^V species that would be comparable to Cpd I; instead, **1** was obtained in good yield. Detection of **1** provided the opportunity to examine its formation from routes similar to those used to produce Cpd II in P450s. In the presence of phenolic substrates, the expected oxidation products were found, indicating that a putative Fe^V -oxo species may have been produced. Taken together, our findings offer experimental and computational evidence that a synthetic protonated Fe^{IV} -oxo complex has been prepared via a route that is similar to that proposed in P450s.⁵

Our experimental results showed that 1 and 2 differ from one another by a single proton, but the combination of spectroscopy and theory suggests protonation of 2 most likely occurs on [H₃buea]³⁻ rather than forming an Fe^{IV}-OH unit as might be expected. The structure of 1a illustrates how an Fe^{IV}-O unit may assist in protonating a nearby functional group through formation of an intramolecular H-bond. We argue that these types of interactions are not limited to just our system and may occur within the active site of a protein or a synthetic complex, especially those with presumed high-valent Fe-OH units.⁷ For instance, mechanisms for non-heme iron enzymes and their synthetic models often invoke Fe^{IV}-OH and Fe^V-OH intermediates,^{8b,22} but evidence for the protonation of an Fe^{IV}-oxo unit has only been observed in heme-containing proteins such as Cpd II of P450s and the chloroperoxidases.⁵ Such reactivity has yet to be definitively observed in other metalloproteins that invoke high-valent Fe-OH species such as the Rieske non-heme oxygenases.^{1a,22a} These findings question whether these high-valent Fe-OH species are the only intermediates in mechanisms other than P450s, particularly when protonation at other sites within the complex (or an active site) cannot be ruled out.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b07633.

Experimental details for all reactions and physical measurements; Tables S1–S3; Figures S1–S14 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*aborovik@uci.edu

Present Address

[†]D.C.L.: Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, 359 Natural Sciences Complex, Buffalo, NY 14260

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the U.S. National Institutes of Health (GM050781 to A.S.B., GM49970 to M.P.H., and GM101390 to M.T.G.), the Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad (CTQ2014-59212-P, CTQ2015-70851-ERC), GenCat (2014SGR1202, 2015FIB00165), and the European Fund for Regional Development (UNGI10-4E-801) for financial support, and E. E. Alp, J. Zhao, and M. Hu from the APS in the Argonne National

Laboratory for support in collecting NRVS spectra. Portions of this research were carried out at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource supported by U.S. DOE, OBES, and NIH; we thank M. Latimer and E. Nelson for assistance.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Costas, M.; Mehn, M. P.; Jensen, M. P.; Que, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 939. (b) Que, L.; Tolman, W. B. Nature 2008, 455, 333.

(2) (a) Poulos, T. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 3919. (b) Krest, C. M.; Onderko, E. L.; Yosca, T. H.; Calixto, J. C.; Karp, R. F.; Livada, J.; Rittle, J.; Green, M. T. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, *288*, 17074.

(3) Denisov, I. G.; Makris, T. M.; Sligar, S. G.; Schlichting, I. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 2253.

(4) Rittle, J.; Green, M. T. Science 2010, 330, 933.

(5) Yosca, T. H.; Rittle, J.; Krest, C. M.; Onderko, E. L.; Silakov, A.; Calixto, J. C.; Behan, R. K.; Green, M. T. *Science* **2013**, *342*, 825.

(6) (a) Gumiero, A.; Metcalfe, C. L.; Pearson, A. R.; Raven, E. L.; Moody, P. C. E. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, 286, 1260. (b) Behan, R. K.; Green, M. T. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2006**, *100*, 448.

(7) (a) Chreifi, G.; Baxter, E. L.; Doukov, T.; Cohen, A. E.; McPhillips, S. E.; Song, J.; Meharenna, Y. T.; Soltis, S. M.; Poulos, T. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2016**, *113*, 1226. (b) Casadei, C. M.; Gumiero, A.; Metcalfe, C. L.; Murphy, E. J.; Basran, J.; Concilio, M. G.; Teixeira, S. C. M.; Schrader, T. E.; Fielding, A. J.; Ostermann, A.; Blakeley, M. P.; Raven, E. L.; Moody, P. C. E. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 193.

(8) (a) Wackett, L. P. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **2002**, *31*, 577. (b) Park, J.; Lee, Y.-M.; Nam, W.; Fukuzumi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *135*, 5052.

(9) (a) Hohenberger, J.; Ray, K.; Meyer, K. Nat. Commun. 2012, 3, 720.
(b) McDonald, A. R.; Que, L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2013, 257, 414. (c) Puri, M.; Que, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 2443. (d) Nam, W.; Lee, Y.-M.; Fukuzumi, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1146. (e) Lacy, D. C.; Gupta, R.; Stone, K. L.; Greaves, J.; Ziller, J. W.; Hendrich, M. P.; Borovik, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12188.

(10) (a) Yosca, T. H.; Behan, R. K.; Krest, C. M.; Onderko, E. L.; Langston, M. C.; Green, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9124.
(b) Jensen, M. P.; Costas, M.; Ho, R. Y. N.; Kaizer, J.; Mairata i Payeras, A.; Münck, E.; Que, L.; Rohde, J.-U.; Stubna, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10512. (c) Stoian, S. A.; Xue, G.; Bominaar, E. L.; Que, L.; Münck, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1545.

(11) (a) MacBeth, C. E.; Golombek, A. P.; Young, V. G., Jr.; Yang, C.; Kuczera, K.; Hendrich, M. P.; Borovik, A. S. *Science* **2000**, *289*, 938. (b) Gupta, R.; Taguchi, T.; Lassalle-Kaiser, B.; Bominaar, E. L.; Yano, J.; Hendrich, M. P.; Borovik, A. S. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2015**, *112*, 5319. (d) Cook, S. A.; Borovik, A. S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2407.

(12) Gupta, R.; Lacy, D. C.; Bominaar, E. L.; Borovik, A. S.; Hendrich, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 9775.

(13) Usharani, D.; Lacy, D. C.; Borovik, A. S.; Shaik, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 17090.

(14) Kaljurand, I.; Kütt, A.; Sooväli, L.; Rodima, T.; Mäemets, V.; Leito, I.; Koppel, I. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 1019.

(15) MacBeth, C. E.; Gupta, R.; Mitchell-Koch, K. R.; Young, V. G.; Lushington, G. H.; Thompson, W. H.; Hendrich, M. P.; Borovik, A. S. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 2556.

(16) Percentages were determined using Mössbauer spectroscopy. The samples used in these studies had <10% impurity of **2**.

(17) Swart, M.; Solà, M.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. J. Chem. Phys. 2009, 131, 094103.

(18) Fairlie, D. P.; Taube, H. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 3199.

(19) Swart, M. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6650.

(20) Green, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1902.

(21) Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 877.

(22) (a) Prat, I.; Mathieson, J. S.; Güell, M.; Ribas, X.; Luis, J. M.; Cronin, L.; Costas, M. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 788. (b) Tiago de Oliveira, F.; Chanda, A.; Banerjee, D.; Shan, X.; Mondal, S.; Que, L.; Bominaar, E. L.; Münck, E.; Collins, T. J. *Science* **2007**, *315*, 835. (c) Prat, I.; Company, A.; Postils, V.; Ribas, X.; Que, L.; Luis, J. M.; Costas, M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 6724.